

Information-Stress Principle in Cantonese

LAU, Chaak Ming
The University of Hong Kong /
Kyushu University

Background

- ▶ It is known that
 - Cantonese syllables are perceptually isochronal
- ▶ However
 - Some syllables are indeed far shorter than others

Problem

► Question

- What?
- How much?
- Why?

► Solution

- Information-stress Principle
(Duanmu 2007)

Outline

- ▶ Cantonese stress
 - Shorter syllables
 - Quantity Sensitivity (Lai 2002)
- ▶ Methodology
 - Cantonese speech → duration measurement
- ▶ Results
- ▶ Information Stress Principle (Duanmu 2007)
 - Info ↑ stress ↑

Cantonese Stress

- ▶ Lack of clear word stress
 - No 'light words'
(cf. Mandarin, Taiwanese, etc.)
- ▶ Perceptual Isochrony
 - Every syllable sounds equally long

Syllables with shorter duration

- ▶ Shortened syllables
 - 阿 (aa3, hypocoristic marker)
 - 唔 (m4, negative morpheme)
- ▶ $\frac{1}{2}$ of normal syllables

Lai (2002)

- ▶ Classifiers are also shorter
 - Explained by an iamb
- ▶ Mono-moraic syllables
 - Aspect markers
 - ▶ e.g. 㗎 zo2
 - Classifiers
 - ▶ e.g. 個 go3 (my example)
個 電話 響緊
cl./phone/ring-PROG

Some questions

- ▶ 1. Underlyingly monomoraic?
- ▶ 2. Are they equally short?



Methodology

► Idea

- Measure the duration of syllables
 - If 'isochronal', syllables should have similar lengths

► My focus

- Durations in a 4-syllable sequence
(Verb, Particle, Classifier, Noun)
- [_{VP} 睇咗 [_{NP} 本書]] (tai2zo2 bun2syu1)
read-PER CL-book
read a book

Methodology

- ▶ 13 subjects were recruited
- ▶ Subjects were
 - given a script (with 8 dialogues)
 - Instructed to read it out as if it is a real conversation
- ▶ Recorded Sounds
 - contained 13 VPs with the above structure
 - Only the VPs were analysed

Data Analysis

► Data discarded

- VPs with long pauses / laughs between syllables
- VPs in which any syllables were skipped

► Data obtained

- 147 VPs from 13 subjects

► Segmentation

- Manually separated into syllables by Praat

Data Sample



Results

► Average

- | | |
|-----------------|------------|
| ■ V+PRT (296ms) | NP (518ms) |
| ■ V (177ms) | P (119ms) |
| ■ CL (179ms) | N (339ms) |

► Paired t-tests

- | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| ■ V+PRT vs. NP | $t(145) = -21.514, p < 0.0001$ |
| ■ Verb vs. Particle | $t(145) = 14.3166, p < 0.0001$ |
| ■ Classifier vs. Noun | $t(145) = 18.3805, p < 0.0001$ |
| ■ Classifier vs. Verb | $t(145) = -0.4318, p = 0.66670$ |
- Verbs are not significant shorter than classifiers

Conclusions

- ▶ Long last syllables (Noun)
 - Phrase-final lengthening?
- ▶ Verb > Particle
- ▶ Noun > Classifier
- ▶ NP > VP
- ▶ Classifiers ~ Verb

Moraic Account?

- ▶ Verbs have similar lengths with Classifiers
 - Both are underlyingly bimoraic
- ▶ Possibility
 - Higher level stress?
 - ▶ E.g. phrasal stress?

Phrasal Stress

- ▶ Phrasal stress assignment
 - NPs are stressed
- ▶ English
 - JOHN loves MARY
 - Subjects and objects receive phrasal stress
- ▶ Cantonese
 - Object NPs are longer than the verb (shown above)

Information-stress Principle

- ▶ The Information-Stress Principle (Dunamu 2007:144)
 - A word or phrase that carries more information than its neighbour(s) should be stressed.
- ▶ Information load (Shannon 1948, in Duanmu's wordings)
 - The more predictable a form is, the less information it carries.

Information-stress Principle

- ▶ In the phrase [X YP]
 - X, the head is more predictable than YP
 - → YP has a higher Information Load
 - → YP should receive stress

Proposal

- ▶ Length is the best phonetic correlate of Cantonese stress
- ▶ Lengthening of NPs may be due to their high 'information load'. (ISP)
- ▶ Most underlying forms are bimoraic
 - Reduced syllables: lack stress -> shorter

To be done

► Measure

- Nouns and verbs at different lengths
- Syllable structure of classifiers
 - Difference between CV classifiers and CVC classifiers

Future Research

- ▶ Monosyllabic noun lengthening
 - Lengthening effect due to minimal word requirement
- ▶ Linguistic truncation
 - Monosyllabic tendency in verb truncation (Luke and Lau 2008)
 - Due to its unstressed position?
- ▶ Corpus check

Selected Reference

- ▶ Bauer, R. S. and Benedict, P. K. (1997) *Modern Cantonese Phonology*. Berlin ; New York : Mouton de Gruyter.
- ▶ Cheung, K-H. (1986). *The Phonology of Present-Day Cantonese*. University College London. PhD thesis.
- ▶ Duanmu, San (1993). Rime length, stress and association domains. *Journal of East Asian Linguistics*. **2**, 1-44.
- ▶ Duanmu, San. (2007). *The Phonology of Standard Chinese (2nd Edition)*. Oxford University Press.
- ▶ Lai, Esther Yuk Wah. (2002). *Prosody and Prosodic Transfer in Foreign Language Acquisition: Cantonese and Japanese*. Lincom Europa.
- ▶ Luke, Kang-kwong, & Lau, Chaak-ming. (2008). On Loanword Truncation in Cantonese. *Journal of East Asian Linguistics*, **17**, 347-362.
- ▶ Shannon, C. E. (1948). A Mathematical Theory of Communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*. **27**, 379–423, 623–656.